ON COUNTING INTERVAL SIZES OF INTERVAL GRAPHS

Lívia S. Medeiros Fabiano S. Oliveira State University of Rio de Janeiro Brazil

Jayme L. Szwarcfiter Federal University of Rio de Janeiro and State University of Rio de Janeiro Brazil

Purpose

- The problem of counting interval sizes of an interval graph
- Open Problems
- Conjectures

Contents

The interval count problem History Complexity Some non-intuitive facts Two interval sizes More non-intuition

Interval Graph and Interval Model

- Interval graph G: Intersection graph of intervals \mathcal{I} in a real line
- Interval model M: Collection of intervals \mathcal{I}



Interval Order

- Partial order (order): $P(X, \prec)$
- Interval order: $X = \text{set of intervals } I_i$, s.t. $I_i \prec I_i$ iff I_i lies entirely at the left of I_i



Our World

INTERVAL GRAPHS

INTERVAL ORDERS

Interval Count

For a model M of an interval graph G, interval count of M, IC(M) = number of distinct interval sizes in M

$$IC(G) = \{min_{IC(M)} | IC(M) \text{ is a model of } G\}$$

7 / 50

Similarly, define *interval count*, IC(P) of an order P

Example



<ロ><回><一><一><一><一><一><一</td>8/50

The Problem

Given a graph G (an order P, determime IC(G) (IC(P)) First introduced by Ronald Graham, in late 70's

A quotation about the problem

The classes k-LengthINT [graphs having interval count k] were introduced by Graham as a natural hierarchy between unit interval graphs and interval graphs (...). Even after decades of research, the only results known are curiosities that illustrate the incredibly complex structure of such a class, very different from the case of unit interval graphs.

by Pavel Klavik Extension Properties of Graphs and Structures Ph.D. Thesis, Charles University, 2017 (page 365) IC(G) = 1

Theorem: (i) IC(G) = 1 iff G is a unit interval graph (ii) G is a unit interval graphs iff it does not contain induced claws

Similarly for orders

Complexity of finding the IC parameter

Given a graph G and an integer k > 0, decide if $IC(G) \le k$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

Polynomial time (linear) if k = 1. Open for any fixed $k \ge 2$.

A Lower Bound NESTED INTERVALS

Let $P = (X, \prec)$ be an order. Define *nested relation*: $\subset_A (P)$

$$b \subset_A y ext{ iff } \exists a, b, c, y \in X ext{ s.t.}$$

 $a \prec b \prec c ext{ and } y || \{a, x, b\}$

b is forced to be properly included in y

Then: IC(P) > 1

k-nested intervals

 $P(X, \prec)$ s.t.

 $x_1 \subset_A x_2 \subset_A x_3 \ldots \subset_A x_{k+1}$

The intervals x_1, \ldots, x_{k+1} are k-*nested*

The nested height of $P(X, \prec)$: max_k|P contains a sequence of k-nested intervals



イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

14/50

Lower Bound

For and order (P, \prec) ,

 $IC(P) \ge nested height(P)$

The nested height can be found in polynomial time: Cerioli, Oliveira and Szwarcfiter (2011)

Linear time: Klavik (2017) IC(G) = 1

G is claw-free iff IC(G) = 1



Increasing interval lengths

- Caterpillars, threshold graphs $\rightarrow IC(G) \leq 2$ (Leibowitz 1978)
- Starlike-threshold → IC(G) ≤ 2 (Cerioli and Szwarcfiter (2006)
- Generalized threshold graphs → *IC(G)* ≤ 2 (Cerioli, Oliveira and Szwarcfiter 2014)
- Trivially perfect graphs → *IC*(*G*) ≤ *k* (Cerioli, Oliveira and Szwarcfiter 2011)
- Extended bull-free graphs → *IC*(*G*) ≤ *k* (Cerioli, Oliveira and Szwarcfiter 2011)

Increasing interval lengths



NON-Intuition

Let $P = (X, \prec)$ be an interval order, IC(P) = 2Wlog, let 1 be the least interval size in a model for P. Define

$$\theta(P) = \{ \alpha \in \Re | \alpha > 1 \land \exists \text{ model } \{1, \alpha\} \text{ for } P \}$$

Example:

P is the order satisfying graph $\mathit{K}_{1,t+2}\text{, }t\geq 1$

 $\Rightarrow \theta(P) = (t,\infty)$

Question: Is $\theta(P)$ always unbounded ?

NON-Intuition

Conjecture: $\theta(P)$ is unbounded.

Answer: Not true !

Theorem (Fishburn 1984): Let P be the order as below. Then $\theta(P) = (1, 2)$



20 / 50

NON Intuition

INTUITION: $\theta(P)$ is continuous ! ANSWER: No !

Theorem (Fishburn 1984) For each integer $k \ge 2$, there exists an order $P = (X, \prec)$ with IC(P) = 2, such that



21 / 50

NON Intuition

Moreover, the number of disjoint intervals can be arbitrary !

Theorem (Fishburn 1984) For each integer $k \ge 2$, there exists an order $P = (X, \prec)$ with IC(P) = 2, such that

$$heta(\mathsf{P})=(k,2k-1)\cup(2k-1,\infty)$$

Corollary: For each integer $m \ge 2$, there is an order $P = (x, \prec)$ with IC(P) = 2, such that $\theta(P)$ is the union of m disjoint open intervals

NON-INTUITION

REMOVAL OF A VERTEX

CONJECTURE (Graham): For a graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, if IC(G) = k + 1 then IC(G - v) = k + 1 or k.

Answer:

True, if k = 2, and false otherwise (Leibowicz 1978)

Leibowicz described examples of a graph whose interval count decreases by 2, by removing one vertex !

Conjecture (Trotter): IC(G) can decrease arbitrarily, by removing one vertex.

ANSWER: OPEN

RESTRICTED: Two sizes with a given size partition

Given a graph G and a bipartition $V_1 \cup V_2 = V(G)$ decide if G admits a model with two interval sizes, such that, the intervals of V_1 have the same size, and the same applies to V_2 .

Can be solved in polynomial time (Joos, Lowesnstein, Oliveira, Rautenbach, Szwarcfiter (2014).

However: It employs linear programming

Questions: 1) Is there a "purely" combinatorial algorithm ? 2) Can the method be extended, say, for a 3-partition ?

 $\{0,1\}$ -Models

Theorem (Skrien 1984): Let S be the set of simplicial vertices of a graph G. Then G admits a model using only interval sizes 0 and 1 iff there are orientations O_1 of $G \setminus S$ and O_2 of \overline{G} , such that

- $O_1 \cup O_2$ is a transitive orientation
- $O_1O_2 \cup O_2O_1 \cup O_2O_2 \subset O_2$, where *AB* denotes the set of pairs *ab*, such that $ax \in A$ and $xb \in B$

It leads to an algorithm for constructing $\{0,1\}$ models, if existing. Complexity: $O(n^3)$

 $\{0,1\}$ -Models

Forbidden subgraph chracterization:

Theorem (Rautenbach and Szwarcfiter 2012): G admits a $\{0, 1\}$ -Model iff G does not contain any of the following induced subgraphs:



 $\{0,1\}\text{-}\mathsf{Models}$ for orders

Forbidden suborder characterization:

A vertex is *co-simplicial* if it is simplicial in the co-comparability graph of the order.

Theorem (Boyadzhiyska, Isaak, Trenk 2017): The following are equivalent for an order *P*:

- P admits a $\{0, 1\}$ -model.
- In each 3 + 1 suborder of P, the middle element of the chain is co-simplicial.
- P does not contain any of the following induced suborders.

Forbidden Suborders



(1,2)-Models for orders

Boyadzhiyska, Isaak, Trenk (2017) Additionally described a characterization for the orders admitting an (1, 2)-model. Moreover, a polynomial time construction of the corresponding models.

The proof and construction employ an accordingly defined weighted order.

Negative results

Pe'er and Shamir (1997) proved the following hardness result, related to the interval count problem:

Given an interval graph G and a pre-described length $\in N$ for each vertex, it is NP-complete to decide if G admits a representation such that the interval corresponding to each vertex has its pre-described length. Containment relations of two length models of graphs

Let $a, b \in \Re, a < b$

Denote LEN(a, b) = class of graphs admitting an (a, b)-model.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

NATURAL QUESTION: Given classes LEN(a, b) and LEN(a', b'), is LEN(a, b) \subseteq LEN(a', b') ?

A simple containment case

Lemma (Scaling Lemma):

Let $a', a \neq 0$ and $\frac{b}{a} = \frac{b'}{a'}$

Then
$$\mathsf{LEN}(a',b') = \mathsf{LEN}(a,b)$$
.

Proof: To transform an (a', b')-model into an (a, b)-model: multiply the model by $\frac{a}{a'}$ and

To transform an (a, b)-model into an (a', b'): multiply by $\frac{a'}{a}$

NON-INTUITION ?

What about the possible inclusions between LEN(a', b') and LEN(a, b), for a < b and a' < b'

We have proved that there are no containments between any pairs of such classes of graphs, except the following simple special case:

Lemma: $G \in \text{LEN}(a, b)$ iff $G \in \text{LEN}(ka, kb)$, for all k > 0.

Theorem: $\text{LEN}(0, k) \not\subseteq \text{LEN}(a, b)$ and $\text{LEN}(a, b) \not\subseteq \text{LEN}(0, k)$ for all k, a > 0



Proof: First, let $a \ge 1$.

Let $G \in LEN(0, k)$, be a graph whose model contains the above model, as a submodel.

34 / 50

The model contains b + 2 intervals of size 0, and an interval of size k, universal to those of size 0. At least b of those b + 2 intervals are nested to the universal interval Suppose there is an (a, b)-model for this graph The nested intervals have size a > 0, and the universal interval size bSince there are b nested intervals of size a each, the universal interval must have size > ab, a contradiction,

That is, $G \notin \text{LEN}(a, b)$



Conversely,

Let $G \in LEN(a, b)$, be a graph whose model contains the above as a submodel

The model is basically unique, up to reflexions.

G contains an induced P_5 , and a vertex *w* universal to the P_5 . The middle vertex *v* of the P_5 cannot be represented by a 0-length interval, because it is not simplicial. Therefore, in a LEN(0, *k*)-model of *G*, *v* has length *b*. But *v* is nested to *w* Therefore *w* must have length > *b*, a contradiction.

Hence, $G \notin \text{LEN}(0, k)$

Let 0 < a < 1

By the Scaling Lemma and using the first part of the proof, LEN $(0, k) \not\subseteq$ LEN(1, b/a) and LEN $(1, b/a) \not\subseteq$ LEN(0, k), Again by the Scaling Lemma, LEN(a, b) =LEN(1, b/a)The result follows

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{LEN}(a',b') \text{ and } \mathsf{LEN}(a,b), \ a,b \neq 0 \\ \text{Theorem: } \mathsf{LEN}(a',b') \not\subseteq \mathsf{LEN}(a,b), \\ \text{for all rational } 0 < a' < b', \ 0 < a < b, \text{ such that } \frac{b'}{a'} \neq \frac{b}{a} \end{array}$

First: assume a, a', b, b' natural numbers, and $\frac{b'}{a'} < \frac{b}{a}$. Outline of the proof LEN $(a', b') \not\subseteq$ LEN(a, b):

Build an (a', b')-model M', as a function of a', b', a, b. Let G be the graph associated to M', then $G \in \text{LEN}(a', b')$. Next, prove that $G \notin \text{LEN}(a, b)$.

In the scheme, there are single bar intervals, double and triple bars intervals. The single bar ones correspond just to regular intervals in an interval model of a graph. The double and triple bar intervals are in fact *hyperintervals*, and correspond to sets of special intervals. These sets are depicted in the figure. This completes the description of the model.

LEN(a', b') and LEN(a, b), $a, b \neq 0$



Model M' satisfies the following constraints:

•
$$r(x_i) - \ell(x_i) = a'$$
, for all $0 \le i \le b + 1$

•
$$r(y_i) - \ell(y_i) = b'$$
, for all $1 \le i \le a$

•
$$\ell(x_{i+1}) = r(x_i)$$
, for all $0 \le i \le b$

•
$$\ell(y_1) = r(x_0) + \epsilon$$
 and $\ell(y_{i+1}) = r(y_i) + \epsilon$,
for all $1 \le i \le a$, where $0 \le \epsilon \le \frac{ba' - ab'}{ab'}$

From the constraints it follows that $r(y_a) = \ell(x_{b+1})$ Therefore, the y_i -intervals all lie between $\ell(x_1)$ and $r(x_b)$, as suggested by the scheme.

$G \in \mathsf{LEN}(a',b') \setminus \mathsf{LEN}(a,b)$

Model M' is an (a', b')-model. Let G be a graph satisfying it. Show that $G \notin \text{LEN}(a, b)$. Suppose the contrary, and let M be an (a, b)-model for G. The following must hold for M:

- y_i is the center of a claw, so it must have length b. for all $1 \le i \le a$.
- *x_i* is adjacent to the center of a *P*₅, but not to the other vertices of the *P*₅. So, it must be nested and therefore has length *a*, for all 1 ≤ *i* ≤ *b*.
- $r(x_b) \ell(x_1) \leq ab$ and $r(y_a) \ell(y_1) > ab$.

From the last condition, it follows: $r(x_b) - \ell(x_1) < r(y_a) - \ell(y_1)$, implying that the intervals y_1, \ldots, y_a cannot lie between x_0 and x_{b+1} , contradiction. Hence no such model can exist.

The remaining cases

The case $\frac{b'}{a'} > \frac{b}{a}$ is similar. It requires the use of a different model, shown below:



The result can be extended to arbitrary rational numbers, by using the Scaling Lemma and least common multiples.

41 / 50

PROBLEM 1: Extend the result of $LEN(a', b') \not\subseteq LEN(a, b)$ to possibly allow a', b', a, b to be irrationals.

PROBLEM 2 (Fishburn): For an integer k, determine the least size of the ground set of an order, having interval count at least k > 1. That is, let $\sigma(k) = min\{|X| \text{ s.t. } \exists P(X, \prec), IC(P) \ge k\}$

Question: $\sigma(k) = ?$

Conjecture (Fishburn 1985): For all k > 1 $\sigma(k) = 3k - 2$.

Fishburn proved the conjecture true, in general, for $k \leq 7$, and open for k > 7.

Special cases: We proved the conjecture holds for some restricted classes of orders.

PROBLEM 3: Given a graph G and fixed reals $a, b, 0 \le a < b$, Does $G \in LEN(a, b)$?

PROBLEM 4:

Given a *k*-partition of the vertices of an interval graph *G*, is there a model for *G*, such that each interval size class corresponds to a part of the partition ? In particular, what about k = 3 ?

PROBLEM 5: Arc count Given a circular-arc graph G, what is the least number of distinct arc sizes in a circular-arc model of G?

THANK YOU

<ロ > < 部 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < 画 > < る < の < の < 48 / 50

- S. Boyadzhiyska, G. Isaak, A. N. Trenk. Interval orders with two interval lengths. arXiv 1707.08093, 2017
- M.R. Cerioli, F. S. Oliveira, and J.L. Szwarcfiter. On counting interval lengths of interval graphs. Discrete Appl. Math, 159:532543, 2011.
- M.R. Cerioli, F. S. Oliveira, and J.L. Szwarcfiter. The interval count of interval graphs: a short survey. J. Braz. Comput. Soc. 18:103112, 2012.
- P. C. Fishburn, Paradoxes of two-length interval orders, Discrete Math. 52:165-175 (1984)
- P.C. Fishburn, Interval Orders and Interval Graphs: A Study of Partially Ordered Sets John Wiley Sons Inc., 1985.
- P. Klavik, Extension Properties of Graphs and Structures, Ph.D. Thesis, Charles University, 2017.

- P. Klavik, Y.Otachi and J. Sejnoha, On the Classes of Interval Graphs of Limited Nesting and Count of Lengths, arXiv:1510.03998v2, 2017
- R. Leibowitz, S.F. Assmann, and G.W. Peck. The interval count of a graph. SIAM J. Algebr. Discrete Methods 3:485-494, 1982.
- F. S. Oliveira. Sobre Ordens e Grafos de Intervalo, Ph.D. Thesis, Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, 2011 (in portuguese)
- I. Pe'er and R.Shamir, Realizing interval graphs with size and distance constraints, SIAM J. on Discrete Mathematics , 10:662-677,1997